1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9 RONALD W. RANGEL,

Petitioner,

VS.

DWIGHT NEVEN, et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

**DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 

Case No. 2:12-cv-02032-JAD-PAL

Before the court are the first amended petition (Doc. 25), respondents' motion to dismiss (Doc. 34), and petitioner's opposition to motion to dismiss and motion for partial dismissal (Doc. 39). The parties agree on the basic matter of respondents' motion (Doc. 34). Petitioner has not presented the issue contained in ground 6 of the first amended petition (Doc. 25) to the state courts, and thus he has not exhausted his available state-court remedies for ground 6. See 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b). It is requested that the court dismiss ground 6, and the court will grant that request.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that respondent's motion to dismiss (Doc. 34) is **GRANTED** in part.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that petitioner's motion for partial dismissal (Doc. 39) is **GRANTED**. Ground 6 of the first amended petition (Doc. 25) is **DISMISSED** for failure to exhaust available state-court remedies.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents shall have forty-five (45) days from the date of entry of this order to file and serve an answer, which shall comply with Rule 5 of the Rules

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

## Case 2:12-cv-02032-JAD-PAL Document 41 Filed 11/05/13 Page 2 of 2

Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts. Petitioner shall have forty-five (45) days from the date on which the answer is served to file a reply. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, from this date forward, the hard copy of any exhibits shall be forwarded—for this case—to the staff attorneys in Las Vegas. Dated: November 5, 2013. JENNIFER A. DORSEY United States District Judge